

:1:

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 218 OF 2006.

Smt. Yamunabai Punaji Gavit. ..Petitioner.
Versus.
Kashinath B. Gavit. ..Respondent.

Shri. P.N.Joshi for the Petitioners.

**CORAM: S.B.MHASE, J.
DATE : MARCH 6, 2006.**

P.C.

1. Heard learned counsel Shri. P.N. Joshi.

By the impugned order the issue of tenancy is framed.

The said order is under challenge.

2. Perused the pleadings. Prima facie, I see
that there are pleadings in respect of the possession
based on 7/12 extract which are prima facie covered
under section 4 of the Bombay Tenancy & Agricultural
Land Act. Therefore the issue is rightly framed.

Hence, no interference is called for. Petition is
accordingly dismissed.

(S.B.MHASE, J.)